

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/652,387	WILLIAMS, MARK R.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
DEBBIE LE	2168	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) DEBBIE LE. (3) _____.

(2) Mark Williams (Inventor). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

11, 13 23 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DEBBIE LE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A phone was made to Inventor on November 10, 2010 with a proposal from examiner that the subject matter of dependent claim 13 would be incorporated into the independent claim 11 that would place the application in condition for allowance together with all limitations recited in claim 11. However, the claim 23 and claim 24 and its dependent claims are allowed as of the record. On November 17, 2010, the parties agreed with the proposal claim amendments and as directly resulted in Examiner's Amendments. Now the case is in condition for allowance. .